

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/597,537	Applicant(s) HENDRIKS ET AL.	
	Examiner Jordan M. Schwartz	Art Unit 2873	

All Participants:

(1) Jordan M. Schwartz.

(2) Dicran Halajian.

Date of Interview: 14 September 2009

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:
10-11, 19-20

Prior art documents discussed:
Kuiper734

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

//Jordan M. Schwartz/
 Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2873

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: _____

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: It was the examiner's contention that the figure 8 embodiment of Kuiper'734 still anticipated claims 10 and 12-19. It was therefore agreed to incorporate the language of claim 11 into both independent claims 10 and 19 to read over this reference. It was further agreed to then cancel claim 11. The aforementioned was done as per the examiner's amendment. Applicant's representative also requested that allowable claim 20 be placed in independent form which the examiner also did by the examiner's amendment. The other minor changes to claims 10, 19 and 20 as set forth in the examiner's amendment were also agreed upon to provide additional clarity